

MONDAY, JULY 29, 8 A.M.

DEPARTURE OF TRAINS.

Jeffersonville Railroad.
Cincinnati and Indianapolis Eastern Express, \$20 P. M.
1. Louisville, via Evansville & Chicago Railroads.
On and after Monday, April 18, 1861, trains - all leave
St. Louis and Chicago Express Mail... 720 A. M.
St. Louis Night Express... 720 P. M.
Trains arrive at New Albany as follows:

Louisville Express... 4:30 A. M.
Louisville Mail... 12:30 P. M.
Kentuckie and Lexington Railroad.
Passenger Train No. 3, 12:30 P. M.
Passenger Train No. 4, 2:30 P. M.
Kentuckie and Nashville Railroad.
Nashville and Memphis Train, daily... 8:30 A. M.
Bardstown and Elizabethan express... 8:30 P. M.

RIVER AND STEAMBOAT NEWS.

The river is rising at this point with three feet nine inches water in the canal last evening. The weather is warm and cloudy, with indications of rain.

At Cincinnati the river had risen two feet up to Saturday evening, with seven feet water in the channel hence to Cincinnati.

It is important that our boat builders, all of whom are idle at present, should make preparation to build at least their proportion of the Government gun-boats, which are to be constructed soon for service in the West.

The Masonic Gem has been chartered by Capt. Green to take the place of the Poland, in the Louisville Evansville trade.

The Jacob McManus, recently from the Ohio, which went to Louisville, was there sold for \$5,500 to Mather & Co., of Chicago, agents or owners of the canal boat line.

A new steamer—A gentleman from Huntington, who, with his family, came over the Nashville road to this city on Friday, says that on Thursday he heard Gov. Harris of Tennessee say to the mail agent or express agent on the road, that, on Monday next, the said agent will receive orders to detain all mail matter going across the Kentucky line into the South to be examined by Confederates.

We had not supposed that the Confederate States considered themselves in so critical a situation that they could afford to permit letters from Kentucky to enter their borders without being broken open and examined by an official board. The thing is absolutely revolting. And, as there would be little use in stopping the letters going South by express if the carrying of letters in the pockets of individuals were to be tolerated, the necessary presumption is, that men and women, traveling South, will, after Monday next, be examined on their arrival at the line of the Confederate States for any letters they may have about them. And how long before it will be thought necessary to stop people from traveling lest they might give dangerous intelligence as could be given by letters?

Our Kentucky disunionists are perfectly furious at not being permitted by the U. S. Government to carry into Tennessee whatever they please. They say that any restriction by the U. S. Government is an outrage which should be resisted with ball and bayonet. But, let the authorities of the Confederate States or the authorities of Tennessee tell them that even their letters shall not cross the line without being broken open and scrutinized, or that they themselves shall not cross it without taking the oath of allegiance to the Southern Confederacy, and they will declare it all right and proper. The U. S. Government can exercise no indisputable right that they will not condemn, and the Confederate States government can commit no possible wrong that they will not applaud.

AVIATION IN THE FIRST DISTRICT.—A gentle man living at Mayfield, passing through Paducah on his way to this city to purchase goods, was surrounded, we are assured, by a party of Panduc ruffians, and informed that he had to leave that place instantly. He was not allowed to remain until the cars left, but had to depart instantaneously. A friend took him to the country and he then got on the cars. He says Union men—among whom are the base citizens of Paducah and Mayfield—are being driven from the district.

Assassination of the War.—One of the inmates of the Butler Insane Asylum at Paducah was a truly a prosperous Baltimore merchant. Secession trouble broke up his business, reduced him insolvent, to Camp Boone, where he told his wife that he would go to Union City with his (Weed) son, sit him down, and get a stolen gun, but, on condition, that when obtained, they should not be taken out of the parish. This gun refused to accede to its promise, and Thomas refused to aid him further.

A Mr. Frank Stone, an officer at Camp Boone, went to Murray, Calloway county, a week since, to fill an appointment to speak, made by I, think, Col. Thomas. He said then and there that the purpose of the Camp Boone organization was to eventually (and soon) to march to Muhlenberg's Hill and thence to Louisville, taking possession of it or destroying it.

Pursuant to a call, the citizens of the Ninth Ward, at the City Court room, on Saturday evening, July 27th, 1861, to elect delegates to represent the voters of the ward in the Senatorial Convention, called to nominate a candidate for the United States in the Thirty-eighth District in the Senate of Kentucky. Dr. W. H. Goddard was called to the Chair and Dr. J. M. Mallard appointed Secretary. The object of the meeting having been stated, on motion, the following delegates were appointed, to-wit: Wm. F. Barrett, D. B. Leigh, John Crabb, Dr. J. B. Flint, Dr. C. E. Hewitt, W. H. Goddard, Wm. Kenrick, and Robt. Montgomery. On motion, the delegates in attendance at the convention shall have power to fill any vacancy that may occur.

The Clothing Contractors.—Proposals were received until Saturday evening at the office of Quartermaster Dent for furnishing the uniforms for the Home Guard. Numerous propositions were made which had not been decided upon Saturday evening. The announcement of the successful bidder or bidders will be made to day.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

At a suit in the Chancery Court in Memphis a few days ago, between W. J. Hardee and John W. Tompkins & Co. of this city, as to the right of the latter to publish Hardee's Tactics, Hardee's injunction against Tompkins & Co. was sustained.

<

